



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,825	07/07/2004	Harald Hofmann	502902-183PUS	8491
27799	7590	06/04/2009	EXAMINER	
COHEN, PONTANI, LIEBERMAN & PAVANE LLP			GRAMLING, SEAN P	
551 FIFTH AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 1210				2875
NEW YORK, NY 10176				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

**Advisory Action
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief**

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/500,825	HOFMANN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
SEAN P. GRAMLING	2875	

—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address —

THE REPLY FILED **12 May 2009** FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

- a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
 (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.

6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____

Claim(s) objected to: _____

Claim(s) rejected: _____

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fail to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
 See Continuation Sheet

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____

13. Other: _____

/Sharon E. Payne/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2875

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding independent claim 1, Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's submission that the plurality of LED's 20, 20' in Calon are not spaced apart from the base. As presented in the previous Office Action, Examiner defined the base of the lamp to be the portion of the lamp which surrounds housing 70 (see Figure 1). Accordingly, Examiner submits that LED's 20, 20' are spaced apart from the base in that there is a distance between the LED's and the base. Examiner also respectfully disagrees with Applicant's submission that LED's 20, 20' are not combined to form one module arranged on the base. The LED's are disposed on a plate which is attached to the base of the lamp (see Figure 1). Examiner submits that the LED's 20, 20' along with the plate are combined to form one module arranged on the base. Lastly, Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's submission that LED's 20, 20' are not aligned in a substantially longitudinal direction of the lamp. As Applicant noted in the current response, "longitudinal" can be defined as "extending in the direction of the length of a thing". In the previous Office Action, Examiner submitted that the length of the lamp can be defined as a distance between two ends of the lamp along the x-direction (when the lamp is viewed in the upright position as illustrated in Figure 1), which is the direction that LED's 20, 20' are aligned with. Although Applicant has offered one definition of the word "length" to be "the longest extent of anything as measured from end to end", Examiner submits that the word "length" is also defined as "a measured distance" (see Merriam-Webster Dictionary, 2005, p. 282, entry 1). Accordingly, Examiner submits that the distance between two end points of the lamp along the x-direction (when viewed in the upright position as illustrated in Figure 1) is "a measured distance", and that the LED's 20, 20' extend in the direction of this length. The rejections of claims 1-2, 4-5, 8, 17-24 and 27 under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) are therefore maintained.